Appln. No.: 10/720,664 Amdt. Dated May 21, 2008 Reply to Office Action dated April 8, 2008
Amendments to the Claims:
This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:
<u>Listing of Claims:</u>
1. (Cancelled)
2. (Cancelled)
3. (Cancelled)
4. (Cancelled)
5. (Cancelled)
6. (Cancelled)
7. (Cancelled)
8. (Canceled)

9. (Cancelled)

Appln. No.: 10/720,664

Amdt. Dated May 21, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated April 8, 2008

10. (Cancelled)

11. (Previously Presented) A method of determining whether a printed-image-under-

examination (PIUE) is a original printed image, with a fragile watermark the method

comprising:

(a) scanning the PIUE to generate scanned image data, the scanned image data

comprising pixel data, the pixel data comprising gray scale values and representing the PIUE

as a set of scanning pixels;

(b) forming a plurality of data blocks from the scanned image data, each data block

consisting of pixel data which corresponds to a respective region of the PIUE;

(c) determining for each of the data blocks an average value of the pixel data in the

data block;

(d) determining for each of the data blocks an index value based on the average value

of the pixel data in the data block;

(e) for each data block, calculating a difference between the index value for the data

block and a value that represents a target value for a corresponding block of pixel data that

was used to generate the original printed image, and

(f) determining whether the PIUE is the original printer images with the fragile

watermark.

12. (Original) The method according to claim 11, wherein the index value for each data

block is selected from a predetermined set of gray scale levels as a one of said set of gray

scale levels to which the average value of the pixel data in the data block is closest.

13. (Original)

The method according to claim 12, further comprising:

Appln. No.: 10/720,664 Amdt. Dated May 21, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated April 8, 2008

- (f) squaring the differences calculated in step (e).
- 14. (Original) The method according to claim 13, further comprising:
 - (g) summing the squared differences.
- 15. (Original) The method according to claim 14, further comprising:
 - (h) comparing a sum generated at step (g) with a threshold.
- 16. (Original) The method according to claim 15, further comprising:
- (i) providing an indication as to whether the PIUE is an original printed image on the basis of a result of step (h).
- 17. (Original) The method according to claim 11, wherein the PIUE was printed with a first resolution that is less than a second resolution at which the PIUE was scanned in step (a).
- 18. (Previously Presented) A method of determining whether a printed-image-underexamination (PIUE) is a original printed image, with a fragile watermark the method comprising:
- (a) scanning the PIUE to generate scanned image data, the scanned image data comprising pixel data, the pixel data comprising gray scale values and representing the PIUE as a set of scanning pixels;
- (b) forming a plurality of data blocks from the scanned image data, each data block consisting of pixel data which corresponds to a respective region of the PIUE;

Appln. No.: 10/720,664 Amdt. Dated May 21, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated April 8, 2008

(c) determining for each of the data blocks an average value of the pixel data in the data block;

- (d) determining for each of the data blocks an index value based on the average value of the pixel data in the data block;
- (e) for each data block, calculating a difference between the index value for the data block and an expected value of the index value; and
 - (f) determining whether the PIUE is the original printed image with the fragile watermark.
- 19. (Original) The method according to claim 18, wherein the index value for each data block is selected from a predetermined set of gray scale levels as a one of said set of gray scale levels to which the average value of the pixel data in the data block is closest.
- 20. (Original) The method according to claim 19, further comprising:
 - (f) squaring the differences calculated in step (e).
- 21. (Original) The method according to claim 20, further comprising:
 - (g) summing the squared differences.
- 22. (Original) The method according to claim 21, further comprising:
 - (h) comparing a sum generated at step (g) with a threshold.
- 23. (Original) The method according to claim 22, further comprising:
- (i) providing an indication as to whether the PIUE is an original printed image on the basis of a result of step (h).

Appln. No.: 10/720,664 Amdt. Dated May 21, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated April 8, 2008

24. (Original) The method according to claim 18, wherein the expected value of the index value for each of the data blocks is representative of a target value that was used to generate pixel values for a corresponding pixel block of the original printed image.